

Trinity College  
Cambridge  
30.7.46.

Dear Professor Bernier,

I am still owing you an answer to your letters of April 4 and April 28!

First, with regard to your comments on my comments on your paper. Unfortunately I have not the English version of your paper, nor my comments, with me still; so I find it hard to recapture the argument, or to form a clear opinion how far your new remarks go to clearing up my difficulty over your equations. It seems to me that you agree now that ~~the~~ ~~income~~ ~~is~~ ~~not~~ ~~the~~ ~~same~~ ~~as~~ ~~the~~ ~~income~~ ~~of~~ ~~the~~ ~~period~~ if you include the profits (or losses) made in any period ~~in~~ in the income of that period. Your demand and supply equations will always balance, and there can be no possibility of divergence between them. And you

propose to meet this, I think, by somehow bringing  
the profits (losses) into the equation for the  
next period. But I do not feel sure how  
this would work out without seeing the whole  
series of equations set out afresh.

Next, as regards my book. I am afraid you  
have had much labour ~~&~~ over it, and hope  
it is nearly ended. And I know you are  
awaiting from me the two things which both  
you and Señor ~~Alvarez~~ de Torres of the  
Aguilar firm asked me for long ago,  
namely a short biography and a preface  
for the Spanish edition. It is the difficulty  
of writing the latter which has put me off  
for so long! I assume however that you  
are including the preface to the English  
edition, so that the special preface can be  
quite short, and I enclose something  
which I hope will do. Also a biographical

(2)

note, from which you can take what you like, - my life has not been very eventful! Please make my apologies to Scarr de Tress for never answering his letter of Feb 25, and for keeping him waiting so long.

With kind regards,  
yours sincerely

J. H. Robertson.